25. 25.

25

Approved For Please 2003/06/26 : CIA-RDP85-00988 P000500050040-9

EXCQM 9018-80

11 Feb. 1980

MEMORANDUM FOR:	Executive Committee Members
FROM :	Special Assistant to DDCI
SUBJECT :	Minutes of Executive Committee Meeting, 6 February 1980
DDO ADP applicate Uniform Guideling chaired the meet Stein (ADDO), Clattended; Messrs	ecutive Committee met on 6 February 1980 to review two cions and the proposed implementation plan for the nes on Employee Selection Procedures. Mr. Carlucciting; Messrs. Hart (ADDA), Taylor (ADDS&T), Larke (D/NFAC), Lipton (Compt.), and Ware (D/EEO) S. Silver (GC), Briggs (IG), and Fitzwater (OPPPM) observers.
capability to the Russian-language been acquiring, human translator. The computerized copy" (requiring has been obtained of this system of this system of this system of the computerial transferred to I people will be made as that this computer that this computer translating Repart-time versus asked Mr. Lipton	(C/IMS/DDO) briefed the Committee on the DDO cion computer system designed to enhance his directorate's canslate, on a timely basis, the large volume of conduced documents—many of which are SALT—related—it has and to disseminate them expeditiously. Currently, cs produce about 720 pages of perfect copy a month. It system would produce about 5,000 pages of "imperfect cy additional editing) per month. The software program and from FTD and is being tested. The estimated cost for FY 1980—82 would be \$100,000. The system will be computed the solution of 19 full—time and for this purpose, the equivalent of 19 full—time and the recent IG report on the system of 19 full—time and full and part—time employees). Alluding to an an all and implementation is planned for 1 July and full and part—time employees). Alluding to an all and part—time employees of a DDCI arsonnel ceiling and resource implications of using a full—time employees were discussed. Mr. Carlucci a to brief the Committee on this issue at a future
session.	<u> </u>
	SUBJECT: 1. The Exemple of this system of this system for this system for this system for the computerized copy" (requiring has been obtained of this system for the computerized copy" (requiring has been obtained of this system for the computerized copy" (requiring has been obtained of this system for the computerized copy" (requiring has been obtained of this system for the sensitive and the sensitive a

6. At the DDCI's request, Mr. Ware highlighted the new Agency-wide Upward Mobility program and urged Committee members to give it their support. Mr. Carlucci reinforced Mr. Ware's comments, encouraging members to participate personally in this important program. 25X1 7. Mr. (DD/EEO) then briefed the Committee on the background of the Uniform Guidelines on Employee Selection Procedures, noting that they were applicable to CIA and pointing out some of the potential problem areas in implementing them. He outlined the monitoring process and explained the four-fifths rule, which is to be used as a guideline in determining whether or not selection procedures have an adverse impact on any group. If adverse impact does occur, one of three courses of action are required: the causal factor must be eliminated, modified<u>or m</u>inimized, or justified as being necessary by a validation process. Comments provided in advance by Committee members indicated general agreement in principle with the uniform guidelines task force recommendations, with the exception of Recommendations 18, 19, alternative 18, and alternative 19. Questions focused on problems of double counting, the use of the self-identification forms, and the data collection effort. Mr. Silver initiated a lengthy discussion on the difficulties of determining at what stage in the process an individual should be considered an applicant and of getting a representative portion of applicants to complete the self-identification form. Mr. Carlucci asked Messrs. Ware, Fitzwater and Silver to develop criteria for defining an applicant and to recommend who should be responsible for applying them. The pros and cons of collecting data in a centralized versus a decentralized manner were discussed. The Committee approved Recommendations 1-14, with the understanding that the results of the findings on applicant criteria would be incorporated into Recommendations 3 and 14. The Committee requested that Recommendation 17 be moved up in sequence to follow Recommendation 10. After considerable discussion, it was agreed that Recommendations 15, 16, 18, 18a, 19, and 19a should be revised to establish the following process: the D/EEO will inform a component when adverse impact exists; the component will have 60 days to correct the situation; during that time, the component may

request the assistance of the Office of EEO; if the element causing adverse impact is not eliminated, modified, or justified at the

25X1

end of 60 days, the D/EEO will bring the issue to the DDCI for decision.

25)

Approved For lease 2003/06/26: CIA-RDP85-00988 00500050040-9

10. Regarding the justification for rejecting an applicant, Mr. Taylor noted than applicants may be rejected not because they can not do the job in question, but because they do not have the potential to grow beyond that job. Mr. Ware said that he would support super-	·
visors' including such potential as a criterion for an assignment, but emphasized that such a criterion should be clearly stated.	25)
11. Mr. Briggs noted that the just completed IG survey on recruitment would be relevant to some of the issues addressed in the context of the uniform guidelines, including the validity of the PAT B test.	25)
12. The Committee approved Recommendations 21 through 28, noting Mr. Fitzwater's point that the time-phased job analysis called for in Recommendation 25 could be begun but not completed by December 1980. Mr. Carlucci adjourned the meeting.	25)
	25)